6:19-cv-01567-JD Date Filed 11/17/22 Entry Number 243-15 Page 1 of 27

EXHIBIT 14

		Page 1
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA	
2	GREENVILLE DIVISION Case No. 6:19-cv-01567-TMC	
3	x	
3	EDEN ROGERS and BRANDY WELCH,	
4	Plaintiffs,	
-	-against-	
5	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH	
	AND HUMAN SERVICES;	
6		
7	XAVIER BECERRA, in his official	
	capacity as Secretary of the UNITED	
8	STATES DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN	
	SERVICES;	
9		
10	ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND	
	FAMILIES;	
11		
12	JOOYEUN CHANG, in her official	
	capacity as Assistant Secretary of	
13	the ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND	
	FAMILIES;	
14		
	JOOYEUN CHANG, in her official	
15	capacity as Principal Deputy	
16	Assistant Secretary of the	
1.0	ADMINISTRATION FOR CHILDREN AND	
17	FAMILIES;	
Τ,	HENRY McMASTER, in his official	
18	capacity as Governor of the	
_ •	STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA; and	
19		
-	MICHAEL LEACH, in his official	
20	capacity as State Director of the	
	SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL	
21	SERVICES,	
	Defendants.	
22	x	
23	July 8, 2022	
2 4	DEPOSITION OF SHANEKA McDANIEL-OLIVER	
25		

	Page 2
1	
2	July 8, 2022
3	9:02 a.m.
4	
5	Remote Videotaped 30(b)(6)
6	Deposition of South Carolina Department
7	of Social Services by SHANEKA
8	McDANIEL-OLIVER, taken pursuant to Notice
9	by Plaintiffs via Zoom before Dawn
10	Matera, a Certified Shorthand Reporter
11	and Notary Public for the State of New
12	York.
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

[
	Page 3
1	
2	APPEARANCES:
3	
4	CRAVATH SWAINE & MOORE
	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Eden Rogers and
5	Brandy Welch
	825 Eighth Avenue
6	New York, New York 10019
	(212) 474-1247
7	
	By: CRISTOPHER RAY, ESQ.
8	cray@cravath.com
	MIKA MADGAVKAR, ESQ.
9	mmadgavkar@cravath.com
10	
	-and-
11	
	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION
12	125 Broad Street, 18th Floor
	New York, New York 10004
13	
	By: LESLIE COOPER, ESQ.
14	lcooper@aclu.org
15	
	NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP
16	Attorneys for the State Defendants
	2 W. Washington Street, Suite 400
17	Greenville, South Carolina 29601
	(864) 373-2352
18	
	By: MILES COLEMAN, ESQ.
19	miles.coleman@nelsonmullins.com
20	
21	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE SOUTH
	CAROLINA
22	Attorneys for the Federal Defendants
	1441 Main Street, Suite 500
23	Columbia, South Carolina 29201
	(803) 929-3030
24	
	By: BENJAMIN TAKEMOTO, ESQ.
25	benjamin.Takemoto@usdoj.gov

	Page 4
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	
3	Also Present:
4	ETHAN STRICKLAND, Summer Associate
5	GEORGINA WILSON, Summer Associate,
	Cravath Swaine & Moore
6	
	ROCCO MERCURIO, Videographer
7	
	DAN ACOSTA, Concierge
8	
	~000~
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16 17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Page 23 Did I read that correctly? Α. Yes, I believe you did. Thank you. Can you tell me why 0. this is called an emergency contract? Α. It is called an emergency because -- well, if you ever worked for Department of Social Services, we have kids coming into foster care at all times of the day and all times of the night. At this time we did not have a contract in place, so we needed time to do a full solicitation in order to get something out there. So this is the way to make sure the kids are safe and their

definitely not appropriate. It is best for them to be in a foster home. So this was a way for us to do that, by an emergency contract. We typically do that if an emergency situation arrives and we have to give justification for that.

Q. So just to make sure I'm

understanding that correctly, does that

well-being is taken care of, otherwise we

would have kids in offices, we would have

kids in hotels and other means, which is

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 24 1 mean there was not a standard contract in 2 place with non-therapeutic CPAs prior to 3 this one? There was not a therapeutic --4 Α. 5 non-therapeutic contract in place before 6 this contract. 7 And can you just briefly Q. 8 explain before this contract how DSS did 9 partner with CPAs if it wasn't through a 10 DSS contract? 11 So we have non-therapeutic and 12 therapeutic. Therapeutic contracts are 13 under -- was under a contract. 14 Non-therapeutics, which we considered as 15 our regular, I don't know how else to 16 define it, but it's our regular foster 17 They give a particular board rate. home. 18 So they didn't have a contract until this 19 time frame because we treated them like 20 we would for our DSS foster homes, 21 although they were CPA providers. 22 Q. I'm sorry, can you repeat, you 23 said you treated them as something foster 24 homes? 25 So DSS had foster homes also. Α.

Page 25 1 They still have to go through the 2 licensing process. And then you have your private CPAs, which would be this, 3 our child placing agencies. Miracle 4 5 Hill, a couple of other ones are, was treated like our DSS foster homes. When 6 7 they got this centralized board rate, 8 non-therapeutic kids placed in 9 non-therapeutic homes. 10 I see. Thank you for that 11 clarification. Were there some that I 12 think happened prior to this contract 13 that motivated DSS to enter into a 14 standard contract with all CPAs at that 15 time? 16 So prior to the 2019 contract, 17 there was, Miracle Hill was receiving a 18 subsidy of \$10 per day per child. Other 19 organizations did not receive that. So 20 in 2019 we made sure that all of the 21 other organizations did receive that same 22 \$10 per day per child. 23 And do you know if there was a 0. 24 reason that only Miracle Hill received 25 that -- is there a name for that \$10 per

Page 26 1 child per day, is it an administrative 2 fee? Yes, it is considered an 3 Α. administrative fee. So there was a memo 4 5 in around 2015. The memo's intent was 6 for kids -- we were having a very hard 7 time placing kids. We didn't have enough 8 non-therapeutic foster kids. There was a 9 memo that non-therapeutic kids can now be 10 placed in CPAs. 11 That memo was meant for 12 non-therapeutic kids to be placed in 13 therapeutic homes that have more of a 14 capacity than our non-therapeutic 15 providers, even our internal DSS 16 providers. So that is how this came 17 about, with Miracle Hill. And they saw 18 the memo. There was a word missing from 19 the memo that says therapeutic providers. 20 And Miracle Hill was a group care 21 provider, not a therapeutic provider. 22 Saw the memo and said we qualify. 23 0. I see. And I think you 24 answered the question I am about to ask. 25 When you say that Miracle Hill was a DSS

Page 27 1 foster home, are you referring to Miracle 2 Hill group, as a group foster care home? So Miracle Hill is a 3 Α. non-therapeutic foster care CPA agency 4 5 and they were a group home. So they had two different structures. 6 7 Q. And they no longer have a group 8 home; is that right? 9 Α. That is correct. 10 Thank you. So the last part of Q. 11 this sentence refers to, "This contract 12 is needed in the interim until the full 13 solicitation is available." Can you tell 14 me what solicitation that refers to? 15 So at that time we were in the Α. 16 process of scoping a solicitation for 17 non-therapeutic providers. Was that solicitation ever 18 Q. 19 completed? 20 It was completed "and I am not 21 sure, but I believe that we actually put 22 out the solicitation, and because we had 23 a protest on our group care contract, we 24 did not want two protests going on at 25 the same time, because some of the

did not list it into this contract, then I cannot tell you. There are licensing requirements that requires training and we have Foster Parent Association that does training. But for me to sit here and tell you to list that, that's not in my capacity.

- Q. So you wouldn't be able to say, for example, what kinds of training and support around cultural sensitivities DSS requires CPAs to provide?
- A. So we have a contract with the Foster Parent Association that has trainings in it. There are a list of trainings in that contract. I did not bring that contract with me to go through what trainings that are offered, but I do know that we have Foster Parent Association that has particular trainings related and to assist CPAs. And I am sure that some CPAs may have their own training also. But I can tell you that we have a contract with the Foster Parent Association for training.
 - Q. Do you know if this provision

- requires compliance with the trainings and that contract that you just described?
- So that contract is for the 4 Α. Foster Parent Association, so it is 5 6 pretty much for foster parents to go 7 through training. I don't know if it's a 8 requirement, but I do know that we have 9 Foster Parent Association and there is a 10 list of trainings that they must comply. 11 I am sure that there is probably 12 information in the licensing regulations. 13 Maybe, you know, I would say I would lean 14 on licensing to tell you that more so than me. I don't want to tell you 15 16 something that is incorrect.
 - Q. So those trainings might have been, but not necessarily, those specific trainings aren't necessarily required?
 - A. So again, I will tell you that licensing would be the best person, the best, you know, part of the organization to answer that, because that's their role and responsibility. I can tell you that we have the CPA, we have the FPA

1

2

3

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

contract, which we call Foster Parent
Association that has training in it.
Again, I did not bring that contract to
go over which trainings there were, but
there is that contract that has
trainings, and those trainings are geared
towards foster parents.

- Thank you very much. Q. I want to go back to what we were talking about earlier, how this contract has changed over time, and specifically with Miracle Hill. So you had mentioned before that Miracle Hill, and please correct me if I am misstating anything that you said, but I believe you mentioned that at one point Miracle Hill was the only non-therapeutic CPA that was providing foster care services that was being reimbursed for those services; is that right? And that had to do with the missing word in the memo that Miracle Hill felt they -- that they were entitled to those funds?
- A. So Miracle Hill started receiving the \$10 per day per child. The intent was to go to our therapeutic

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Page 57 1 organizations, not non-therapeutic 2 organizations. 3 And because of the 4 interpretation of that language, Miracle 5 Hill received that \$10 per day per child. And at that time Miracle Hill 6 7 was providing group home foster care 8 services and was receiving that payment 9 for those particular group home services; 10 is that right? 11 So Miracle Hill had a group 12 home at that time and they were under a 13 contract for group home. And they didn't 14 have a therapeutic agency. 15 Does the fact that Miracle Hill Q. 16 was receiving those funds at that time, 17 does that mean that Miracle Hill was the 18 only non-therapeutic CPA providing those 19 foster care services at that time? 20 So repeat that? Α. 21 So the fact that Miracle Hill 22 was receiving \$10 per day per child at 23 that time, does that mean that Miracle 24 Hill was the only non-therapeutic CPA 25 providing those foster care services at

Page 58 1 that time? 2 No, that does not mean that. 3 But I will tell you that if another non-therapeutic CPA requested, we would 4 5 have given them the \$10 per day per child 6 also. 7 So I want to turn to a 8 different section of this contract, to the page ending in 250, which I think is 9 10 the very end. Let me know when you see 11 that. Are you on that page? 12 Α. Yes. 13 So this page is titled Change 14 Order Number 5 and dated July 15th, 2021; 15 is that right? 16 It's at the bottom? July 15th, 17 that change order was sent out and the 18 procurement office was asking for that to 19 be sent back by that date. 20 I see. So we discussed change 21 orders a little bit earlier, but this is 22 generally how the standard 23 non-therapeutic CPA contract has changed 24 its terms and provisions? 25 Α. Correct. If anything is

changed, the one that we were first on was the first one, and if anything was changed from that one on would have been done through a change order.

- Q. And you said these are generally not individually negotiated.
 But DSS issues them and there might be a conversation about the contents of the change order. But otherwise, they aren't rejected by the CPA or negotiated by the CPA?
- A. So individually negotiated?

 Typically not, because when you have

 Miracle Hill and a couple of other

 providers that are doing the same work,

 you don't want to have that kind of

 closed door conversation, because you are

 doing the same work. You may be doing it

 a little bit differently, but you're

 doing the same type of work. So anything

 that we would like to see as an

 organization as DSS, we would have that

 conversation collaboratively to make sure

 that we are all on the same page, unless

 it's something that just totally falls

Page 63 1 administrative rate affect whether DSS 2 expects Miracle Hill to comply with the 3 contract service requirements? No, DSS expects Miracle Hill to 4 Α. 5 comply with the service requirements. 6 And is that true regarding 7 Federal and State law as well? 8 Α. So anything that is not in this 9 change order we still expect Miracle Hill 10 and any other provider to comply with. 11 And that also would include any 12 non-discrimination provisions that might 13 be included in the contract or Federal or 14 State law? 15 If it's included in the Α. 16 contract, then we expect Miracle Hill and 17 other providers to comply. 18 Q. If you look on the next page on 19 251, it says at the top, "The provider 20 must provide SCDSS a yearly foster home 21 recruitment and retention plan by 22 August 15th, 2021." 23 The way I understand this 24 change order, that means that was a new 25 requirement that was not in the contract

Page 64 1 before but was applicable to all other 2 non-therapeutic CPAs in South Carolina; 3 is that right? So all of the providers have to 4 5 send in to licensing, to FP health, their 6 plans for obtaining foster homes. 7 Can you just briefly describe 8 what those plans look like and what 9 information they include? 10 I can't provide or tell you 11 what that is. Again, you see that it 12 says to that FP statewide foster parent 13 liaison. That's outside of my scope. 14 can't provide or tell you anything about 15 that because I am not sure. 16 And is the statewide foster 17 parent liaison, is that part of DSS? 18 Α. It is. 19 MR. RAY: This might be all I 20 have, but if you wouldn't mind if we 21 could take a quick break so I can look 22 over my notes to see if there is 23 anything else. 24 MR. COLEMAN: You're saying 25 that's the end of all of your

Page 65 1 questions or just for this document? 2 MR. RAY: I might have more 3 questions, I just want to take a look at my notes to make sure. 4 5 MR. COLEMAN: Okay. 6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Now going off 7 the record, the time is 10:27. 8 (Off the record.) 9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Now back on, 10 the time is 10:37. BY MR. RAY: 11 12 I think I just have a few more 13 clarifying questions and I will be 14 finished. 15 So going back to DSS's 16 relationship with non-therapeutic CPAs 17 before this contract was entered into, I 18 believe you indicated that there were 19 other non-therapeutic CPAs providing 20 foster care services, but they weren't 21 receiving the administrative rate for it; 22 is that right? 23 So we had other non-therapeutic Α. 24 CPAs that were not receiving the \$10 per 25 day per child, but if they requested it,

Page 66 1 we would have given it to them also. 2 Q. And does that mean that those 3 CPAs were not receiving funding through DSS before this contract was entered 4 5 into? 6 No, that doesn't mean that. So 7 the provider, the foster homes get a 8 board rate. They weren't receiving the 9 \$10 per day per child. But the providers 10 are given a board rate and those go to 11 the foster homes. 12 And so that's just a different 13 type of reimbursement that the 14 non-therapeutic CPAs were receiving, 15 that's different than the \$10 per child 16 per day? 17 Α. So those go straight to the 18 foster homes that CPA providers have 19 licensed. The \$10 per child per day is 20 an administrative rate. 21 I see. And then another 22 question. Sorry, if you can just clarify 23 what a board rate was before this 24 contract was entered into. 25 Α. So a board rate, so for a,

quote unquote, and this is how I defined it and used to define it before we called it non-therapeutic. Our regular foster home, even a DSS foster home, we have a rate that we give foster parents. It's a rate that's set by legislators and this is the rate. So that's what CPA providers, known as non-therapeutic to me previously before this contract was regular foster homes.

- Q. I see. And they received that rate because at that time DSS considered them to be DSS foster homes; is that right?
- A. They are all, to me, they are all homes that could be utilized for DSS because they are licensed foster homes. They are CPA providers, although the private agency licensed them. They are homes that DSS can still utilize because they are foster homes, so our kids need foster homes so we can still utilize them. But they were getting a regular board rate. I think the difference is that you have non-therapeutic and

Page 68 1 therapeutic. Those are the only two 2 differences that you would have in a 3 rate. I see, okay. That was very 4 5 clear, thank you. And one other 6 question. If a CPA was refusing to 7 conduct home studies to get any families 8 licensed, would that CPA be in compliance 9 with the requirement that it have 10 families available for placement? 11 So, again, I think for the 12 contract, it says contractor must make 13 foster homes for placement of children 14 approved. So we expect CPAs to have 15 those foster homes. If there was 16 something going on with the licensing 17 process, that's before we would actually 18 get involved. That's a licensing 19 standard and regulation. 20 So if for whatever reason none 21 of a CPA's families were getting licensed 22 and the CPA was causing that, would that 23 put that CPA in breach of the contract? 24 Α. So there is no recruitment, 25 there is no recruitment and pieces in

Page 82 1 There are a number of different Ο. 2 ways that a CPA could comply with this 3 requirement, right? 4 Α. That is correct. We didn't 5 ascribe that in the contract. 6 The requirement is that they 7 submit a plan? 8 Α. Correct. 9 Ο. As to how they go about doing that, the contract is silent and so 10 11 that's a subject that you don't know 12 about, because that's someone else's area 13 and that is beyond what is required by 14 the contract? 15 That is correct. Α. And then lastly, toward the end 16 0. 17 of your testimony you said something, I 18 think, but I want to clarify, you may 19 have lapsed into a phrasing that 20 sometimes we use that may not technically 21 be correct. And I think we all 22 understand. But I want to make sure the 23 record is clear. 24 You're referring to what's 25 sometimes called the board rate or the

Page 83 1 maintenance rate or payment that goes to 2 the family to provide foster care. And I 3 think you said that money goes to families the CPAs have licensed. But 4 5 really the CPAs don't license any 6 families, do they, only DSS? 7 DSS does the licensing and 8 those funds go to those foster homes that 9 are licensed through DSS. 10 The families might work with 11 the CPAs, but ultimately they are 12 licensed by DSS? 13 Α. All homes are licensed by DSS 14 in South Carolina. All have to go 15 through the licensing process. 16 MR. COLEMAN: All right. 17 are the only questions I have. 18 Mr. Ray may have a few, Mr. Takemoto 19 may have a few, but I think I'm done. 20 MR. TAKEMOTO: I don't have any 21 further questions for the Federal 22 Defendants. 23 MR. RAY: I'm sorry, I just have 24 like two questions and then I promise 25 I will be finished.

EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. RAY:

- Q. So after the mistake in the memo that resulted in Miracle Hill giving that administrative rate, did DSS ever tell any non-therapeutic CPA that they would be eligible to receive that rate?
- A. So I can imagine during that time if a provider asked for that rate, DSS would honor and provide them that rate, that \$10 per day per child.
- Q. But none of the other CPAs may have known that that rate was available to them?
- A. So I've asked around, because again in preparation for this, to ask why didn't other providers get this rate, and it is my understanding from asking around that no other providers asked for this rate. And so they were, Miracle Hill was the only one getting the \$10 per day per child until we did an emergency contract.
- Q. And that might have been because you didn't know about it, but you just didn't know?

A. Again, I asked around, and again in preparation for this, to see and find out and did my due diligence of trying to figure out, okay, Miracle Hill is receiving this rate. Did other providers receive this rate. Did other providers request. And again, I wasn't able to get that question answered.

- Q. And then just one or two more questions about the second page of the change order regarding the foster home recruitment and retention plan. I believe you indicated in response to Mr. Coleman's questions that there is no specific form that plan must be submitted in to DSS?
- A. So when we sent out this change order, we put the information in accordance to what our program area needs, the foster care recruitment plan, and this is the information that's on there. That plan actually goes to the statewide foster parent liaison. So I can't tell you how that form is sent to them.

Page 86 1 But the plan must include Q. 2 something about recruitment, right? 3 So it says foster home Α. 4 recruitment and retention efforts. 5 And if a CPA did not submit a 6 plan describing their recruitment 7 efforts, would they be in compliance with 8 this provision? 9 So if the CPA didn't submit a 10 plan, in whatever format, then I would 11 expect that our licensing department and 12 whoever uses statewide foster parent 13 liaison would get in contact and say we 14 didn't receive a plan from a particular 15 CPA. 16 And because they didn't receive 17 a plan, that CPA would not be in 18 compliance with this requirement; is that 19 right? 20 So if the CPA didn't submit the Α. 21 plan, the statewide foster parent liaison 22 would let me know and then I would follow 23 up with that CPA provider. 24 Q. And if that CPA provider 25 refused to provide a plan in response to